Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/046,592	GROSS, R. MICHAEL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Brian E. Pellegrino	3738
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) Brian E. Pellegrino.	(3)	
(2) Shane Niebergall.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>13 March 2007</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	oplicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 102 (b) and 103 (a)		
Claims discussed: 1-5,8-16		
Prior art documents discussed: US 2687131, US 5197949, US 4915694, US 5693030		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G Claims 1-5,9-12 are allowed and the rejections for claims 8 Niebergall gave authorization for the Examiner's amendment	1,13-16 are maintained after a decisi	
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sun</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The examiner will provide a warrante</li> <li>rate record of the substance of</li> </ul>	the interview, since the interview
Bran Pellering (Examiner/SPE Signature) (App		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (App	licant/Applicant's Representative	e Signature – if appropriate)